

POTENTIAL ASSETS FOR THE END OF STAGE 1

| ASSET | HOW ASSET WOULD WORK |
|---|---|
| INCREASED BANKS PUMPING CAPACITY | Increase pumping to 10,300 cfs Screens at head of CCFB would contribute to decreasing entrainment of certain species (i.e, salmon) |
| EFFICIENCY | ULFT Program: Could result in gains on the order of 120 kaf/yr mainly from implementation of state-wide program |
| GROUNDWATER SUBSTITUTION PROJECTS (WITH ARTIFICIAL GROUNDWATER RECHARGE) | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Southern Sacramento County (near Galt)</u>: potential to fill pumping depression -- at least 300 TAF 2. <u>East San Joaquin Basin</u>: potential storage capacity up to 3 MAF 3. <u>Gravelly Ford</u>: approximate capacity 100-200 TAF 4. <u>Madera Ranch</u>: approximate capacity 300-400 TAF |
| GROUNDWATER STORAGE | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Drought Water Bank: Butte Basin 2. Yolo County 3. West Central Basin In-Delta: Webb Tract, Bacon = 240 kaf Bacon connected to export pumps = 120 kaf |
| IN DELTA STORAGE | Victoria/ Woodward connected to export pumps = 80 kaf |
| SHASTA DAM EXPANSION | Addition of flashboards on Shasta Dam would increase storage capacity by 50 TAF |
| FLEXIBLE STANDARDS | Varies depending on standard and conditions |